OPEN ACCESS ORIGINAL ARTICLE

REASONS OF REPEATED RADIOGRAPHS IN A PRIVATE DENTAL TEACHING HOSPITAL: A PREVENTABLE RADIATION HAZARD

¹SOFIA SHEHZAD, ²SYED IMRAN SHAH GILLANI, ³AFAQ FAROOQ, ⁴KAMRAN KHAN, ⁵MUHAMMAD ASIF, ⁶MOHAMMAD SARTAJ

ABSTRACT

This study was carried out to assess the frequency and causes of repeated radiography including artefacts, mispositioning and movement in radiology department, Sardar Begum Dental College, Peshawar. It was an audit of six years record carried out from 2015-2020. Total of 98138 films were performed and 7754 were repeated. The data was collected at the end of each year from the records of the radiology department, Sardar Begum Dental College, Peshawar. All the repeated radiographs along with the causes of repetition were included. The permission was taken from the authorities for the collection of data. The data was entered and analysed on SPSS version 26. The total 7754 radiographs were repeated in six years. The most common reason for the repetition of imaging was mispositioning; The most common reasons for the repetition of the radiographs were human error (3346), movement (3097) and lastly due to Artefacts (1311). The repeated radiological image is an important issue that needs to be addressed by the authorities to improve the quality assurance and to reduce cost of the health care delivery.

Key Words: Radiology, Mispositioning, Artefact, Exposure, Human Error

This article may be cited as: Shehzad S, Gillani SIS, Farooq A, Khan K, Asif M, Sartaj M. Assessment of patient satisfaction about a private hospital in Karachi. Pak Oral Dent J 2021; 41(3):185-88.

INTRODUCTION

The radiography is used to acquire the optimum diagnostic data through the minimum dosage of the radiation. There is need to perform studies on radiographs related to general health of the patients.¹

Radiographs helps the dentist to provide to get an early diagnosis and develop a better treatment strategy for the patients.² Since the discovery of dental radiography, both conventional and digital radiographic techniques have been used. With the development of electronic systems, materials have been utilized to pro-

duce a digital image.³ Digital imaging has been shown to be beneficial in caries identification,^{4,5} periodontal defects,6,7 restorative treatments, and diagnosis of periapical lesions, ^{8,9} root fractures, ¹⁰ and root resorption.¹¹ Making digital of intraoral radiography presents numerous benefits over traditional film, namely minimal dosages, quick processing, effectual storage, and radiographs skills.^{12,13} Two-dimensional imaging is categories into intraoral imaging and extraoral imaging. Periapical, bitewing, and occlusal projections are intraoral imaging, whereas panoramic and cephalometric projections are extraoral imaging. Computed tomography (CT), magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) in pathology, and reconstructive maxillofacial surgery is well established. Cone beam computed tomography (CBCT) has become a preferred diagnostic tool because to its low radiation dosimetry, higher reliability, and flexibility in its field of view (FOV).^{14,15} In the Swedish population, the total number of intraoral radiographs exposed in a patient aged 3 to 19 was 24.4%. Every year, 70 to 80 % of 14-19-year-old-adolescents were subjected to a bitewing examination.^{16,17} The usage of traditional intraoral film radiography has declined over time. According to a Norwegian research conducted in 2001, 14 percent of GDPs utilized digital radiography in 2003, and 61 percent in 2004.¹⁸ In UK (2003) 61%dentists accessed the panoramic component.^{19,20} A study

¹ **Corresponding Author**: Sofia Shehzad, Professor, Department of Community and Preventive Dentistry, Sardar Begum Dental College, Peshawar Email address: sofia.kabir@gandhara.edu.pk

² Syed Imran Shah Gillani, Assistant Professor, Department of Community and Preventive Dentistry, Sardar Begum Dental College, Peshawar Email: imrangilani@hotmail.com Cell # 0321-3784528

³ Afaq Farooq, Assistant Professor, Department of Periodontology, Sardar Begum Dental College, Peshawar Email: dr.afaqfarooq@ gmail.com Cell # 0322-9126008

⁴ Kamran Khan, Postgraduate Resident, Department of Prosthodontics, Sardar Begum Dental College, Peshawar Email: Kamranwazir21.kk@gmail.com Cell # 0343-906978

⁵ Muhammad Asif, Postgraduation Resident, Department of Orthodontics, Sardar Begum Dental College, Peshawar Email: asifyousafzai10@gmail.com Cell # 0345-8159297

 ⁶ Mohammad Sartaj, Biomedical Engineer, Gandhara University, Peshawar Email: m.sartaj008@gmail.com Cell # 0333-5833357
Received for Publication: Mar 19, 2021
Revised: Jul 11, 2021
Approved: Jul 25, 2021

conducted by Snell et all, ninety-four per cent of those surveyed had an intraoral radiography, 76 percent to a panoramic unit, and 21 percent to a cephalometric arm. One in every five Belgian dentists appeared to have full access to a cone beam CT scanner. 90 % of all intraoral radiography units used digital sensors, whereas ninety-one percent of panoramic units used digital detectors.²¹ Moreover, According to the findings of Ilgüy et al. In Turkey, 14 per cent of dental specialists used digital radiographs.²² To carry out excellence in radiology department, developing a quality assurance (QA) program in interpretation of correct diagnosis, should be cost-effective, prompt and the quality services, maintenance in providing improved procedures should be accurate, specific and safe.²³ It is important to manage exposure to low level of radiation as possible to avoid harmful effects. The International Commission on Radiological Protection (ICRP) use of conventional filtration, high speed image receptor system, optimum processing quality, culmination to produce the smallest field size and avoidance of repeated imaging.²⁴ Considering the radiation exposure safety in Pakistan, National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurement (NCRP)²⁵ has recommended design and devices for standard radiation protection. Furthermore, for acquiring significant and informative images with adequate quality to assist in the diagnostic process, optimum images are important to reduce the probability of the patient exposure to supplementary radiation. The rationale of the study is the reasons for repeated x-rays, to overcome these issues reduced by changing of image procedure and training the technician working in this department to minimize this issue in future. The objective of this study is to assess the reasons related to the repeated x-rays in Sardar Begum Dental Hospital.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This is a cross sectional study done from the year

2015-2020. The data was collected from the record section of the radiology department, SBDC, Peshawar. Ethical approval was taken from the ethical committee of Gandhara University. A check list was made, which included variables like total number of radiographs, repeated radiographs and causes for the repetition of radiographs. Parameters like artefacts, mispositioning (radiographer's mistakes) or movement of the patient in the chair during the exposure were also recorded. For this study, the inclusion criteria were only intra oral periapical radiographs. Bitewing, OPGs etc. and those repeated peri apical radiographs in which cause of repetition was not mentioned were excluded from the study. The collected data were entered into SPSS 26. Frequency tables were drawn for descriptive statistics. Chi square test was used to find association between the categorical variables.

RESULTS

Total of 98138 patients were exposed to radiation in the radiology department in six years, 7754 images were repeated. For details see table 1 and 2.

DISCUSSION

The technical errors made by the practitioner, would require repeated radiographs as a result they would increase the patient's exposure. Factor that affects the quality of intraoral radiographs.¹⁶ Previous studies regarding repeat radiograph have been reported in the literature, moreover, 49 studies showed frequencies of repetition of x-ray ranging between 3%-15%.¹⁷

The prevalence of the repeated radiographs provides basic information for quality enhancement process and minimize the exposure of patients to radiation.¹⁹ The radiographs were repeated due to a variety of problems, with positioning error being the most common cause for repeating a radiograph.²⁰ The other causes were due

TABLE 1: SHOWS TOTAL FRI	EQUENCIES OF RADIOGRAPHS AND REPEATED RADIOGRAPHS.

Year	Total Radiographs	Repeated Radiographs	
2015-2016	39190	1380	
2017-2018	37099	4095	
2019-2020	21849	2280	

TABLE 2: SHOWING THE CAUSES OF REPETITION

Year	Causes of Repetition			Total	Chi-	P- value
	Artefacts	Mispositioning	Patient Movement		Square	
2015 - 2016	$606 \ 43.9\%$	$246\ 17.8\%$	$527\;38.2\%$	$1379\ 100.0\%$	11.79	< 0.01
2017 -2018	$1755\;42.9\%$	$686\ 16.8\%$	$1654\;40.4\%$	$4095\ 100.0\%$		
2019 -2020	$916\;40.2\%$	448 19.6%	$916\;40.2\%$	$2280\ 100.0\%$		
Total	$3277\ 42.3\%$	$1380\;17.8\%$	$3097\;39.9\%$	$7754\ 100.0\%$		

of technical errors and inadequate processing. The study gave some gross and basic input into the common problems of quality of radiography service. Repeated radiographs analysis is a procedure of finding sources of error, image faults, and practices.²² According to the findings, around 12.9 percent of defects were present in the x-ray radiographs obtained by students. The most prevalent technical faults were most of the research (94.9%) was technical, that included Elongation, Cone cut, inappropriate film positioning, and film cut the apex of the X-ray films. Existing Errors in X-rays are present. The use of films reduces the quality of X-ray radiography, and results in reduced ability of dentist in the treatment of patients.²³ In most of the studies the results showed that the reasons behind repeated film screens were processing and exposure problems whereas mispositioning of the patient occurs in the repetition of digitals.¹⁸ Retailers could also make a user-friendly software program to mechanically organise, store and reject images.²³ Repeated and rejected radiographs could lead to redundant patients' exposure along with inadequacy in the imaging process causing waste of time and resources.²⁴ The movement of the patients, positioning, and artifacts exclusive to the image receptor can also cause the repetition of radiographs. There should be some evaluative policies for the provision of services to enhance its quality and effectiveness. Pre and post analysis of the radiographs can be helpful to figure out the quality and reasons of repetition.²⁵ The staff guidance and skills enrichment, teaching, and modifications to work training advance amenity and enable cost- effective practice.²⁶ CONCLUSION The repeated radiological image was an important issue. The exposure due to repeating the images, the ill effects associated with excessive exposure to radiation and to reduce cost of the health care delivery and improve the quality assurance. REFERENCES

to Artefact that are; improper angulation, improper

film placement, patient movement, processing error, and incorrect exposure factors.²¹ In our study the most

common cause was artefacts 3277(42.3 %) the second

most common cause was patient movement 3097(39.9

%) during taking radiograph and the least was Mis-

positioning 1380 (17.8 %). Studies have shown that

radiographs with poor diagnostic quality were because

- Asha S, Curtis KA, Grant N, Taylor C, Lo S, Smart R, et al. Comparison of radiation exposure of trauma patients from diagnostic radiology procedures before and after the introduction of a panscan protocol. Emerg Med Australas. 2012;24(1):43-51.
- 2 Cohenca N, Silberman A. Contemporary imaging for the diagnosis and treatment of traumatic dental injuries: a review. Dent Traumatol. 2017;33:321-28.
- 3 White SC, Pharoah MJ. White and Pharoah's Oral Radiology

E-Book: Principles and Interpretation. Elsevier Health Sciences; 2018 Sep 12.

- 4 Walsh T, Macey R, Riley P, Glenny AM, Schwendicke F, Worthington HV, Clarkson JE, Ricketts D, Su TL, Sengupta A. Imaging modalities to inform the detection and diagnosis of early caries. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. 2021(3).
- 5 Bardal R, Mobini M, Mirzaee M. In vitro comparison of two photostimulable phosphor plate systems for early detection of occlusal dentin caries with and without a sharpening filter. Journal of Dental Research, Dental Clinics, Dental Prospects. 2020;14(4):223..
- 6 Fontenele RC, Nejaim Y, Farias Gomes A, Gaêta-Araujo H, Haiter-Neto F, Freitas DQ. Does the addition of a lead foil to digital radiographic receptors influence image contrast and approximal caries lesions diagnosis?. Dentomaxillofacial Radiology. 2020 May 1;49(4):20190384.
- 7 Schmidt JC, Gutekunst CJ, Dagassan-Berndt D, Schmidlin PR, Walter C. Comparison of two-dimensional and three-dimensional radiographs using clinically relevant parameters. Dentistry journal. 2019 Jun;7(2):50.
- 8 Gaêta-Araujo H, Nascimento EH, Oliveira-Santos N, Queiroz PM, Oliveira ML, Freitas DQ, Oliveira-Santos C. Effect of digital enhancement on the radiographic assessment of vertical root fractures in the presence of different intracanal materials: an in vitro study. Clinical Oral Investigations. 2021 Jan;25(1):195-202.
- 9 Vandenberghe B, Corpas L, Bosmans H, Yang J, Jacobs R. A Comprehensive in Vitro Study of Image Accuracy and Quality for Periodontal Diagnosis - Part 1: The Influence of X-Ray Generator on Periodontal Measurements Using Conventional and Digital Receptors. Clin Oral Invest. 2011;15(4):537-49.
- 10 Aziman C, Hellén-Halme K, Shi XQ. A comparative study on image quality of two digital intraoral sensors. Dentomaxillofacial Radiology. 2019 Oct;48(7):20190063.
- 11 Brasil DM, Yamasaki MC, Santaella GM, Guido MC, Freitas DQ, Haiter-Neto F. Influence of VistaScan image enhancement filters on diagnosis of simulated periapical lesions on intraoral radiographs. Dentomaxillofacial Radiology. 2019 Feb;48(2):20180146.
- 12 Maddalone M, Citterio C, Pellegatta A, Gagliani M, Karanxha L, Del Fabbro M. Cone-beam computed tomography accuracy in pulp chamber size evaluation: An ex vivo study. Australian Endodontic Journal. 2020 Apr;46(1):88-93.
- 13 Schröder ÂG, Westphalen FH, Schröder JC, Fernandes Â, Westphalen VP. Accuracy of digital periapical radiography and cone-beam computed tomography for diagnosis of natural and simulated external root resorption. Journal of endodontics. 2018 Jul 1;44(7):1151-58.
- 14 Vasconcelos KD, Rovaris K, Nascimento EH, Oliveira ML, Tavora DD, Bóscolo FN. Diagnostic accuracy of phosphor plate systems and conventional radiography in the detection of simulated internal root resorption. Acta Odontologica Scandinavica. 2017 Nov 17;75(8):573-76.
- 15 Katti P. Evaluating Dental Practitioner's Knowledge and Attitudes towards Cone Beam Computed Tomography in Belgaum-India: A Questionnaire Study. J Oral Med. 2018;2(1):4.
- 16 Suárez-Albela M, Fernández-Caramés TM, Fraga-Lamas P, Castedo L. A practical evaluation of a high-security energy-efficient gateway for IoT fog computing applications. Sensors. 2017 Sep;17(9):1978.
- 17 Ramakrishnan DS, Gouthaman SS, Periasamy S. Knowledge, Attitude, and Practice, about Digital and Conventional Radiographs among General Dentists and Specialists in Kanchipuram District. Journal of Evolution of Medical and Dental Sciences. 2020 Oct 26;9(43):3200-04.
- 18 Snel R, Van De Maele E, Politis C, Jacobs R. Digital dental radiology in Belgium: a nationwide survey. Dentomaxillofacial

Radiology. 2018 Dec;47(8):20180045.

- 19 Aps J. X-Ray Equipment in Dental Practice. InImaging in Pediatric Dental Practice 2019 (pp. 1-11). Springer, Cham.
- 20 Snel R, Van De Maele E, Politis C, Jacobs R. Digital dental radiology in Belgium: a nationwide survey. Dentomaxillofacial Radiol. 2018;47(8):20180045.
- 21 I Yasa Y, Sadık E. Survey of dental radiological practice among private dentists in Ordu, Turkey. Gülhane Tip Dergisi. 2018 Mar 1;60(1):9.
- 22 Borrás C. Quality Control, Radiation Safety and Maintenance Programs. In: Defining the Medical Imaging Requirements for a Rural Health Center. Singapore: Springer; 2017; 119-34.
- 23 Khan MJ, Hamza MA, Zafar B, Mehmod R, Mushtaq S. Knowledge, attitude and practices of health care staffregarding hospital waste handling in tertiary care hospitals of Muzaffarabad, AJK, Pakistan. Int J Sci Rep. 2017;3(7):220.
- 24 Alagic Z, Eriksson A, Drageryd E, Motamed SR, Wick MC. A new low-dose multi-phase trauma CT protocol and its impact on diagnostic assessment and radiation dose in multi-trauma patients. Emerg Radiol. 2017;24(5):509-18.
- 25 Khamtuikrua C, Suksompong S. Awareness about radiation hazards and knowledge about radiation protection among healthcare personnel: A quaternary care academic center-based study. SAGE Open Med. 2020; 8:2050312120901733.

CONTRIBUTIONS BY AUTHORS

1 Sofia Shehzad:	Concept & design, data acquisition, data analysis, drafting manuscript, critical revision, supervision, and final approval
2 Syed Imran Shah Gillani:	Drafting manuscript, critical revision, supervision, and final approval
3 Afaq Farooq:	Data acquisition, data analysis, drafting manuscript, critical revision,
	and final approval
4 Kamran Khan:	Drafting manuscript, critical revision, supervision, and final approval
5 Muhammad Asif:	Drafting manuscript, critical revision, and final approval
6 Mohammad Sartaj:	Drafting manuscript, critical revision, and final approval

CORRIGENDUM

Please refer to the article published on Page 76 (Vol 41 (2): June 2021) of "Pakistan Oral & Dental Journal".

The Name & Qualifications of the following authors may be correctly read as under:

- Dr Rehan Ahmad Mughal is MBBS, MPH (Not BDS)
- 2 Dr Shamma Mashhood
- 3 Dr Sehar Tejani

1