COMMUNITY DENTISTRY/EPIDEMIOLOGY

SAUDI DENTAL PATIENTS ATTITUDE TOWARDS ESTHETIC DENTAL TREATMENT AT KSU, COLLEGE OF DENTISTRY, RIYADH

*HODA ABDELLATIF, MPH, DrPH

**WEDAD AWLIYA, BDS, MS

ABSTRACT

The aim of this study was to assess the attitudes of Saudi patients, treated at the Dental College of King Saud University, towards their appearance and esthetic dental treatment. Data were collected by means of self-administered questionnaire. Patients were asked questions to measure their satisfaction with appearance, and to ascertain their attitude towards the current esthetic dental treatment they received. Statistical analysis of the data showed that the study sample indicated a high level of dissatisfaction with their appearance; also, they indicated that they are willing to invest more time and money in order to reach to esthetic satisfaction. One may conclude more need for the practice of esthetic dentistry in the society.

Keywords: Esthetic dentistry, appearance, attitude, satisfaction, patient

INTRODUCTION

Appearance and esthetics are matter of individual interpretation and preference. Several studies have indicated the effect of attractiveness on interpersonal relationships among preschoolers', school aged children² and adults³.

The importance of dentofacial attractiveness to psychosocial well being on an individual has been well documented⁴⁻⁶. Enhancement of facial beauty is one of the primary elective goals of patients seeking dental care'. Esthetic is a judgmental commodity, in many instances the ability of dentists to communicate and visualize the potential results of an esthetic procedure is essential. The dentist learns concepts of perception and tries to achieve the patient's desires for an esthetic appearance. Willard et al' found that 28% of their population wanted to actively participate in decisions regarding their treatment. This is important dissatisfaction resulting minimizing unrecognized expectations. Rosental et al9 discovered that the greatest degree of satisfaction occurred when the dentist considered the patients feeling as the most important guide in denture esthetics.al8

In the past decade, technological advances have provided dentists with increasing capability to restore and modify dental esthetic appearance. Although there is considerable research on technical aspects of esthetic procedures, little studies investigated patient's perception of esthetic treatments.

The aim of present study was to assess the attitudes of Saudi patients towards current esthetic dentistry treatment carried out at King Saud University (KSU), College of Dentistry, Riyadh.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was conducted on patients attending clinics in College of Dentistry, KSU during three-months period. Patients whose chief reason for seeking care involved pain or a dental emergency were excluded from the study. To participate in the study, subjects had to be able to read and write Arabic.

To ascertain patient's satisfaction towards current esthetic dental treatment, a questionnaire was developed and pre-tested for use. Data were collected by means of a self-administered patient questionnaire (Figure 1).

Three hundred questionnaire forms were distributed among patients in the waiting area in the clinics. After a brief explanation of the study, patients were asked to complete a short written questionnaire. No clinical examination was conducted.

Data were entered into and analyzed by the statistical package SPSS version 10. The variables predominantly categorical were analyzed with frequency table analysis. Statistical significance was assessed by using Fischer's exact test. Size a=.05 test were conducted for statistical significance.

Corresponding Author: Hoda Abdellatif, Assistant Professor & Head, Division of Community Dentistry, Department of Preventive Dental Science, College of Dentistry, King Saud University, P.O. Box 5967, Riyadh 11432, E-mail: hodlatif@hotmail.com

^{*} Assistant Professor, Department of Preventive Dental Sciences ** Assistant Professor, Department of Restorative Dental Sciences

Figure 1. The questionnaire used in the study

1. Personal data	7. When you decided to treat your teeth did you do the following:	
Age: Sex:	•	
2. Do you often smile?	 Discussed the treatment plan with my dentist. □ Y e s□ N o 	
☐ Yes ☐ No		
3. If the answer was NO, why? I do not like to smile	 Discussed the alternative treatment plan with my dentist. 	
☐ My ugly teeth	☐ Yes☐ No	
Bad color of my teeth	8. Did your dentist forecast the life expectancy of your esthetic treatment?	
4. How do you like to change your smile? — Teeth color	□ Yes□ No	
☐ Teeth position	9. Please, rank the important factor in seeking esthetic dental procedure. Start with no. 1 as the most important.	
☐ Less showing gum	☐ Appearance	
☐ Lip fullness	□ Dental health	
☐ Change distance between the jaws and the nose		
5. What was the recent dental treatment you	Durability	
received?	10. Please, rank the following factors influencing dentist	
☐ Build-up	selection. Start with no. 1 as the most important.	
☐ Esthetic crowns	Quality of treatment	
Orthodontics	Dentist personality	
Composite resin restorations	□ Cost of treatment	
☐ Esthetic bridges		
☐ Removal denture	P. Carlotte	
☐ Teeth bleaching	Dental practice - atmosphere	
6. Rank your satisfaction with the treatment procedure,	time and cost	
Treatment procedure		
Fully satisfied	Satisfied Unsatisfied	
1 2 3	4 5 6 7	
Treatment time was		
Shorttime	Reasonable Lon _g time	
1 2 3	4 5 6 7	
Cost was		
Reasonable	Average Expensive	
1 2 3	4 6 7	
Time and cost are		
Time more important than cost	Both important Cost more important than time	
1 2 3	4 5 6 7	

RESULTS

Questionnaires were distributed to 300 patients in the clinics in the College of Dentistry, KSU. One hundred and seventy six questionnaires were returned with a response rate of 65%.

The subjects ranged in age from 18 to 61 yearsold with an average of 29 years. Fifty-seven percent of the samples were female and forty-three percent of the subjects were male.

As a mean of measuring dental satisfaction with appearance, subjects were asked "do you smile often?" and "would you like to change your smile?".

For the first question, 64% of subjects responded affirmatively while 36% responded negatively. Among those subjects who responded negatively, "ugly teeth" was the main reason for not smiling (52%), followed by "tooth color" (16%).

For the question of how they would like to change their smile, 82% of the subjects in the sample indicated a desire to alter their appearance when smiling, with 86% of these subjects demanding or interested in some change in their teeth (Table 1).

Responses to dental satisfaction with appearance were analyzed according to gender and age. In Table 2, results indicated that proportionally fewer females were dissatisfied (p<0.001). In investigating the effect of age in relation to satisfaction no statistical significance was found.

Regarding their recent previous treatment to anterior teeth, 86% of the subjects in the study had previous treatment. Table 3 showed the various type of treatment recently provided to the subjects. Subjects answered a series of questions rating their opinions about their recent treatment procedure, time, and cost involved on a seven-point scale. The fre-

TABLE 1. NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE OF RESPONDENTS REQUESTING CHANGES TO ALTER THEIR APPEARANCE WHEN SMILING

Changes Requested	Number (%)
Teeth color	79(49%)
Teeth position	60(37%)
Less gum	14(9%)
Distance jaw/nose	5(3%)
Lips	3(2%)

quency distribution showed that the maximum rate of satisfaction was among patients who have had teeth whitening or bridge treated (100%), and the minimum rate of satisfaction was among denture-treated patients.

Same patterns were found when patients rated time and cost involved in treatment procedures. Patients who had denture-treated procedures stated that time and cost, respectively were unreasonable.

When asked whether time or cost was more important, 52% of the subjects stated that the two are equally important, 22% considered time more important, and 16% assigned greater value to cost. However, when asked of which they would be willing to invest more to achieve a better result in treatment, 8% said costs, 32% said time, and 60% said both.

In selecting their esthetic dental procedure, 67% of the subjects discussed the procedure with their dentist, but only 37% of the subjects discussed alternative procedures.

From the 176 respondents to the question of whether the dentist forecast the life expectancy of esthetic treatment, only 25% of subjects stated "yes".

Subjects were asked to rank several factors in the selection of a dental procedure, with a rank of 1 indicating the most important. Table 4 showed the factors and their mean ranks.

Finally, subjects were asked to rank in order of importance factors involved in selecting a dentist, a rank of 1 indicated most important. The factors and their mean ranks are shown in Table 5. Results indicated that the quality of treatment was ranked number 1 by the subjects, followed by personality of dentist, then cost of treatment.

DISCUSSION

The subjects selected for this study were a convenient sample of a university's dental hospital popula-

TABLE 2. DENTAL APPEARANCE SATISFACTION AMONG SUBJECTS BY GENDER

	Satisfaction		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
Male	43	41	84
Female	84	28	112
Total	127	69	196

p < 0.001

TABLE 3. PERCENTAGE OF VARIOUS TYPES OF RECENT ESTHETIC TREATMENT TO ANTERIOR TEETH AMONG SUBJECTS

Number (%)	
93(55%)	
27(16%)	
17(10%)	
12(7%)	
10(6%)	
5 (3%)	
5(3%)	

tion, they may differ from other groups in socioeconomic status, in motivation for seeking dental care, and quality and extent of previous care.

The results of this study showed that approximately two third of subjects are dissatisfied with theLancaster12ce. These results seem to be in agreement with the results of the study of Newmann et all where they studied personal esthetic satisfaction and oral self-image among adults. Of the subjects studied, 66% expressed some level of dissatisfaction with their appearance. However, the level of dissatisfaction reported by Goldstein and Goldstein and Lancasteri² was lower than the rate reported in this study. Goldstein and Lancaster¹² reported that 34% al10icated dissatisfaction with their dental appearance. This difference may be explained by the different groups and methods used in the study.

Satisfaction with appearance was strongly influenced by gender in this study. Male subjects were more dissatisfied with their dental appearance. This finding is in disagreement with other studies. In Neumann et all, males tended to be less concerned with appearance of their teeth even when observable esthetics defects are present, while in Stenvik et a1,¹³ no difference in the level of satisfaction between males and females were found.

Subjects were asked to rank several factors in the selection of a dental procedure. The result of this study is in agreement with Goldstein and Lancaster¹², where dental appearance was ranked as the most important factors. These results indicate that concerns about appearance and self-image were more important to the patient than health concerns. Given the long-standing psychologic findings that attractive persons are considered more qualified and receive generally better treatment than their unattractive peers', an attractive dental appearance may be held as an essential quality for greater success in life. Therefore, it is especially important that each dentist be

TABLE 4. MEAN RANKING OF FACTORS IN SEEKING DENTAL TREATMENT

Factors	Mean Ranking
Appearance	1.54
Dental Health	1.66
Durability	2.78

TABLE 5. MEAN RANK OF FACTORS INFLUENCING IN DENTIST SELECTION

Factors	Mean Rank
Quality of treatment	1.38
Dentist personality	2.86
Cost of treatment	2.90
Dental practice location	3.86
Dental practice atmosphere	3.96

aware of the psychologic as well as the physiologic needs of each patient.

Patients in this study, indicated willingness to invest more money, time, or both to improve the result of their treatment. This result may indicate a departure from the consensus that patients always want "permanent" treatment with minimal cost as possible.

Because 82% of the subjects in the sample indicated a desire to alter their appearance when smiling, with 86% of these subjects wanting some change in their teeth, there is clearly a need for the practice of esthetic dentistry. This need is shown by the importance attached to appearance by subjects in the study. Supporting this, utilizing a nationwide stratified, multistage, probability sample of 1862 persons, 20 years of age or older, Linn¹⁴ found that in response to question regarding the importance of dental appearance in certain social situations, the majority of this sample rated dental appearance as "very important". Furthermore, Grogono et al¹⁵ indicated in their study of patients receiving osseointegrated implants that 44% hoped for improved self-confidence and 30% for improved appearance. It appears, therefore, that many people seek treatment for a mixture of social and psychological reasons, with the primary motivation being the need for acceptable dento-facial appearance.

Regarding selecting a dentist, results clearly indicated that patients considered factors pertaining to treatment as the most important followed by dentist personality. The dentist learns concept of perception and tries to achieve the patient's desires for an esthetic appearance. Unfortunately, dentists may develop concepts of an esthetic appearance that differ from patients¹⁶ or not to possess a sufficient degree of sensitivity to patients' needs for esthetics11_17. In this study, 67% of the subjects discussed procedure with their dentist compare to 96% in Goldstein and Lancaster¹². This can create communication problems and unanticipated difficulties. Therefore, good communication in the dentist/patient relationship is important in analyzing and planning esthetic treatment according to individual needs and desires.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

On the basis of these results one may conclude that relatively high level of dissatisfaction with appearance among study sample indicated the need for the practice of esthetic dentistry in the society, and in relation to esthetic dental appearance, patients are willing to invest more time and money.

As mentioned in the discussion, the study as a convenient one may not be a representative of the patient's population seeking esthetic dentistry and in view of this, further study should be conducted on a larger sample and one representative of the socioeconomic status of the population and the various health care providers sector of the population.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This study (NF 1719) was registered with the College of Dentistry, Research Center (CDRC) King Saud University.

The authors would like to thank Ms. Beth Ofrasio for her valuable assistance in typing the manuscript and to all the patients who participated in this study.

REFERENCES

- 1 Dion KK. Young children's stereotyping of facial attractiveness. Dev Psychol 1973; 9: 183-8.
- 2 Cavior N, Dokecki PR. Physical attractiveness, perceived attitude similarity, and academic achievement as contributors to interpersonal attraction among adolescents. Dev Psycho! 1973; 9:44-54.
- 3 Cross JF, Cross J. Age, sex, race and the perception of facial beauty. Dev Psychol 1971; 5: 433-9.
- 4 Baldwin DC. Appearance and aesthetics in oral health. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol 1980; 8: 244-50.
- 5 Shaw WC, Rees G, Dawe M, Charles CR. The influence of dentofacial appearance on the social attractiveness of young adult. Am J Orthod 1985; 87: 21-6.
- 6 Jenny J. Visibility and prestige of occupations and the importance of dental treatment. J Canad Dent Assoc 1986: 52: 287-9.
- 7 Davis LG, Ashworth PD, Spriggs LS. Psychological effects of esthetic dental treatment. Journal of Den 1998; 25: 547-554.
- 8 Willard D, Luebke W, Nestrerenky A. Q-factors analysis applied to describe attitude toward dental care. Dent Edu 1979; 43: 176-9.
- 9 Rosenthal L, Pleasure M, Lefer L. Patient reaction to denture esthetics. J Den Med 1964; 19: 103-5.
- 10 Neumann LM, Christensen C, Cavanaugh C. Dental esthetic satisfaction in adults. JADA 1989; 118: 565-70.
- 11 Goldstein R. Study of need for esthetics in dentistry. J Prosthet Dent 1969; 21: 589-98.
- 12 Goldstein R, Lancaster J. Survey of patient attitudes toward current esthetic procedures. J Prosthet Dent 1984; 52: 775-80.
- 13 Stenvik A, Espeland L, Berset GP, Eriksen HM. Attitudesto malocclusion among 18 and 35 year old Norwegians. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol 1996; 24: 390-3.
- 14 Linn EL. Social meanings of dental appearance. J health Hum Behav 1966; 7:289-95.
- 15 Grogono A, Lancaster D, Finger I. Dental implants: a survey of patient attitudes. J Prosthet Dent 1989; 62: 573-6.
- 16 Brisman AS. Esthetics: a comparison of dentists and patient's concepts. JADA 1980; 100: 345-52.
- 17 Giddon DB. Through the looking glasses of physicians, dentists, and patients. Perspect Biol Med 1983; 26: 451-8.