# DENTAL CROWDING AND ITS RELATIONSHIP TO ARCH DIMENSIONS — GENDER DIMORPHISM

<sup>1</sup>MUHAMMAD IMRAN RAHBAR, BDS, FCPS (Orthodontics) <sup>2</sup>NASEER AHMAD CHAUDHRY, BDS, MCPS (Oral Surgery), MCPS, FCPS (Orthodontics) <sup>3</sup>ARFAN-UI-HAQ, BDS, MCPS (Operative), FCPS (Orthodontics)

## ABSTRACT

This study was conducted on a sample of 120 individuals (60 male and 60 female individuals) with dental Class I malocclusion selected from different dental institutions of Lahore, Pakistan. The patients were divided into crowed and non crowed groups with equal numbers of males and females. The sample age ranged from 12-18 years with mean age of 15.2 years. Arch width and arch length were measured in upper and lower arches at inter-canine, inter-premolar, inter-molar distances. All measurements were taken from study dental casts by a calibrated operator using vernier caliper. Data were analyzed by using SPSS 16 version for windows. The paired t-test was used to evaluate the differences between males and females and average values of the sample.

The results showed that the average inter molar width in upper arch was 35.74mm to 36.95mm in females and males respectively in non crowded group. Average arch length was found 77.4mm to 82.7mm in upper arch with no crowding and 72.5mm to 76.1mm in crowded group. Males generally showed higher values in all dimensions but the differences were not statistically significant in comparison to females.

Key words: Arch width, Arch length, Dental crowding, Gender dimorphism

#### INTRODUCTION

Presently dental crowding is a most common problem among the. orthodontic patients that results as a consequence of a tooth size-dental arch dimension discrepancy.<sup>1,2</sup> The prevalence of crowding in the dental arch is tremendously increasing in modem dentitions.

The accurate assessment of dental crowding and the space required to alleviate this malocclusion is critical for correct orthodontic diagnosis and treatment planning. The goal of modem orthodontics is to provide best possible occlusal relationships within the acceptable framework of facial esthetics and stability of results.<sup>3</sup>

In a Pakistani sample study, it was found that 78% of Pakistani sample showed crowding of varying degree in upper arch.<sup>4</sup> Identifying the etiology of this malocclusion is the most important issue in orthodontics. In literature different theories explain the etiology of dental crowding including hereditary and environmental factors.

Hamid and Rahbar<sup>5</sup> found significant relationship between arch dimensions and crowding rather than to tooth size in a Pakistani sample. The relationship between arch dimensions and crowding has become subject of interest and concern to many investigators which has lead to many conflicting and contradictory views. Sinclair and Little Carter<sup>6</sup> and McNamara<sup>7</sup> also reported significant reduction in the upper and lower arch lengths with time. In another classical study of Moorrees and Chadha<sup>8</sup> showed constant values for these factors after 14 years unlikely indicated by most of orthodontic literature.

Most recently, McNamara and Brudon<sup>9</sup> simplified predictions by applying average maxillary intermolar widths of 37.4 mm for males and 36.2 mm for females.

Sex differences in crowding have also been reported by LaVelle and Foster<sup>10</sup>, Fastlicht<sup>11</sup> and Foster and associates<sup>12</sup> all found more dental crowding in females than in males. Foster and colleagues while studying the relationship of age and dental crowding found that

<sup>1</sup> Assistant Professor, FMH College of Medicine and Dentistry, Shadman, Lahore. Correspondence: 425-K Block, Gulistan Colony, 1 Faisalabad, e-mail: imranrahbar@hotmail.com

<sup>2</sup> Associate Professor and Head Orthodontics Department

<sup>3</sup> Assistant Professor de, Montmorency College of Dentistry, Lahore

crowding increased with age until the thirteenth or fourteenth year and then tends to decrease.

The aim of this study was to qualify the crowding by assessing the arch size discrepancy in crowded and noncrowded arches and to predict average values in males and females of Pakistani population.

### METHODOLOGY

This comparative cross sectional study was conducted on 120 patients dental casts (60 males, 60 females) collected from different dental institutes of Lahore, Pakistan. Each Subject had a normal class I molar relation in centric occlusion. Patients included in the study had Good quality pretreatment maxillary and mandibular dentition from 1<sup>st</sup> to 1<sup>st</sup> permanent molar with an Age range from 12-18 years and no history of previous orthodontic treatment.

The sample was divided in two equal groups based on presence or absence of crowding on the basis of clinical examination, the sex distribution in each group was equal. Patients having missing, broken, grossly carious teeth or having other dental abnormalities were excluded from the study. No numerical value was measured in either group until the selection procedure was completed. The upper and lower dental casts of all the patients were used for all measurements with the help of vernier caliper by the investigator. Values were rounded to 0.lmm.

Arch width was measured from left  $1^{\rm st}$  to right  $1^{\rm st}$  permanent molar at the intersection point of lingual

No of pts.	Sex	Group
30	Μ	С
30	Μ	N-C
30	$\mathbf{F}$	С
30	F	N-C
M=Male	C=Crowded	

TABLE 1: GENDER DISTRIBUTION OF SAMPLE
----------------------------------------

M=Male C=Crowded F=Female N-C=Non-Crowded groove with the gingival margin on the dental cast with the help of vernier caliper tips. Similarly inter premolar width was measured. The Inter canine width was recorded from the canine tips.

Arch perimeter was measured with the help of brass wire from mesial marginal ridge of left to right 1<sup>st</sup> permanent molar passing over the premolar and canine regions following the respective curve of occlusion in each arch.

The data were entered in personal computer, and then analyzed by using the Statistical Analysis for Social Sciences (SPSS version 16 for windows).

Descriptive statistics were used to obtain the following measurements; Mean(x), standard deviation (SD), Standard error of the mean (SE) or minimum and maximum values.

# RESULTS

The casts of 120 individuals (60 male and 60 female individuals) were analyzed with age ranges from 12 to 18 years. The mean age of the male sample was 15.4 and that of females was 14.8 years and the mean age of the whole sample was 15.2 years.

The results of this study were presented in the form of tables with their corresponding texts. In each of crowded and non-crowded group 30 patients were males and 30 females as shown in Table 1.

In this study arch dimensions of two groups, crowded and non-crowded dental casts were compared between males and females sample. The result indicates that a significant difference exists between arch dimensions of both groups but the difference was found to be non significant between the males and females.

Arch width was measured for both sexes and the mean values were predicted in Table 2. Average maxillary arch width of crowded group in males is 32.44mm and in females is 31.20mm, whereas in non crowded group the values are 36.95mm and 35.74mm respectively (Table 2). Similar measurements were found in

		Male					Female	e	
Group	Region	n	Mean	S.D	Р	n	Mean	SD	р
C N	Inter-Canine	30 30	$\begin{array}{c} 34.68\\ 34.07\end{array}$	$3.29 \\ 1.43$	$47.194 \\ 106.74$	30 30	$33.65 \\ 33.68$	$3.173 \\ 2.241$	$47.41 \\ 67.21$
C N	Inter First premolar	30 30	$24.88 \\ 28.13$	$3.495 \\ 2.997$	$31.82 \\ 44.95$	30 30	$23.82 \\ 26.97$	$1.90 \\ 2.59$	$56.09 \\ 46.63$
C N	Inter-First molar	30 30	$\begin{array}{c} 32.44\\ 36.95\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.834 \\ 1.172 \end{array}$	$78.59 \\ 140.51$	30 30	$31.20 \\ 35.74$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.87\\ 1.86\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 74.46\\ 86.41 \end{array}$

#### TABLE 2: ARCH WIDTH - MAXILLARY ARCH

Dental crowding and its relationship to arch dimensions

TABLE 3: ARCH WIDTH — MANDIBULAR ARCH										
		Male					Female	9		
Group	Region	n	Mean	S.D	Р	n	Mean	SD	р	
C N	Inter-Canine	30 30	$26.93 \\ 28.23$	$2.456 \\ 1.387$	$\begin{array}{c} 48.86\\91.04\end{array}$	30 30	$26.67 \\ 27.26$	$2.56 \\ 1.82$	$\begin{array}{c} 47.03\\ 66.68\end{array}$	
C N	Inter First premolar	30 30	$24.37 \\ 27.06$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.80\\ 2.18\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 60.55 \\ 57.13 \end{array}$	30 30	$23.16 \\ 25.89$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.48\\ 1.85\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 69.71 \\ 62.16 \end{array}$	
C N	Inter-First molar	30 30	$31.29 \\ 35.38$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.68 \\ 1.21 \end{array}$	84.99 133.29	30 30	$\begin{array}{c} 30.17\\ 34.17\end{array}$	$1.89 \\ 1.733$	72.77 88.46	

${\rm TABLE}4{\rm :}{\rm ARCH}{\rm LENGTH}{\rm}{\rm MAXILLAR}{\rm ARCH}$									
	Male						Female	<b>)</b>	
Group	Measurement	n	Mean	S.D	Р	n	Mean	SD	р
C N	Arch Length	30 30	76.10 $82.65$	$4.49 \\ 3.56$	75.65 107.41	30 30	72.47 77.38	3.89 4.97	83.50 69.29

${\rm TABLE}5{\rm :}{\rm ARCH}{\rm LENGTH}{\rm}{\rm MANDIBULAR}{\rm ARCH}$										
	Male						Femal	e		
Group	Measurement	n	Mean	S.D	Р	n	Mean	SD	р	
С	Arch Length	30	66.78	3.51	84.98	30	64.75	3.454	83.82	
N		30	69.90	2.612	119.6	30	66.79	3.173	94.12	

mandibular arch as shown in Table 3. This study also demonstrated an average of 1.21mm to 1.24mm wider upper arches in males as compared to females.

Although a significant difference was found for the maxillary and mandibular arches between crowded and non crowded but the difference between males and females was not statistically significant (Table 4). This study also showed an average of 3.77 mm to 5.27 mm longer upper arches in males as compared to females and similar measurements were also found for the lower arch.

# DISCUSSION

Information regarding arch dimensions of human populations is important for clinicians in orthodontics, prosthodontics, and oral surgery. It is also for interest of anthropologists and other students of human oral biology.

The findings of this study are in agreement with that of McKeown<sup>13</sup>, Mills <sup>14</sup> and How<sup>15</sup> et al while disagrees with the findings presented by Fastlisht<sup>16</sup>, Norderval <sup>17</sup> and associates and Doris<sup>18</sup> and his co-workers.

McKeown examined a sample of photographs of casts which were categorized as to their degree of crowding. She found that arch width and crowding are strongly correlated and that a narrow arch in man predisposes to crowding of the teeth. She found 36 mm average inter molar width in non crowded individuals. This inter molar width is in agreement with that of our study in which inter molar width was found to be 36.95 mm for maxillary arch in males and 35.74mm for females non-crowded arch.

Radnzic<sup>19</sup> in a study on indigenous British and Pakistani immigrants group reported that in both ethnic groups there was significant correlation in certain arch dimensions and degree of crowding. This study was on primary dentition and showed that arch dimensions are significantly correlated with that of degree of crowding irrespective of the dentition. In another similar study<sup>20</sup> done on primary dentition, it was concluded that the absolute width of the arch is the determining factor in crowding.

Nimkarn et al<sup>21</sup>, while studying records of 20 males and 20 females, at University of Pittsburgh by using their study casts found that only males demonstrated significance correlations between intermolar arch width discrepancy and crowding and Inter premolar widths were more consistently related with the amount of crowding in both males and females.

This study also demonstrated an average of 2.1 mm to 2.4 mm wider arches in males as compared to females and showed a little higher value as compared to our sample. The results of this study also correlate well with that of present study in the sense that dental crowding is more related to arch dimensions. The sexual differences found in this study also correlate well with that of the present study except a little more difference between males and females. The other possible reason of the differences found in both studies might be the racial difference (Caucasian versus Pakistani sample), selection criteria, and measurements error.

Another study on Japanese children by Aichi Gakuin Daigaku Shigakkai Shi<sup>22</sup> in 1989 concluded that the dental arch lengths and widths of crowded group were uniformly smaller than those of non-crowded group. The findings of this study are in agreement with that of present study done on Pakistani sample in general. Similarly in a separate study<sup>23</sup> on Chinese children consisted of 74 subjects with gross dental crowding and 89 subjects with well aligned dentition described that the dental arch width of the crowded group was significantly smaller than those of non crowded group.

Bishara et al<sup>24</sup> investigated a male - female comparisons by using student t tests and showed that male subjects were larger than female subjects in a number of dental arch parameters.

Samad Younes SA<sup>25</sup> while studying Saudi and Egyptian population tried and found that the Saudi maxillary arch dimension was greater in males than in females and similar results were found for the Egyptian group by sex. The sex differences of total Saudi and Egyptian groups combined were significantly greater than the ethnic differences In contrast to our sample.

Buschang et al<sup>26</sup> also found that Boys have wider arches than girls, especially in the Posterior aspects and that the upper dental arch is narrower and longer in women as contrast to our sample where males has longer arches than females.

Therefore the differences and predicted values may be useful for arch perimeters comparison or sexual dimorphism and the gender difference may help the clinicians in diagnosis and treatment planning of males and females patients with arch length discrepancy.

#### REFERENCES

- 1 Bushcang PH, Shulman JD. Incisor crowding in untreated persons 15-50 years of age. Angle Orthod 2003;73:50208
- 2 Kasai K, Kawamura A, Kanazawa E. A comparative study of dental arch and horizontal section of the mandible in Jomon and modern Japanese using CT scanning. Orthod Waves 1999;58:193-98

- 3 Proffit WR. Contemporary Orthodontic. 2nd ed. St Lewis: Mosby-Year book;1993
- 4 Hamid MW, Naeem S, Mahmood A. Orthodontic treatment need and pre treatment complexity at de,Montmorency College of Dentistry, Lahore by using Index of Complexity, outcome and need (ICON). Pak Oral Dent Jr 2002;22: 119-26
- 5 Hamid MW, Rahbar MI. Dental Crowding and its relationship to tooth size and arch dimensions. Pak Oral Dent Jr 2005; 25:47-52
- 6 Sinclair PM, Little RM. Maturation of untreated normal occlusions. Am J Orthod. 1983; 83:114-23
- 7 Carter GA, McNamara JA. Longitudinal dental arch changes in adults. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop. 1998; 114:88-99.
- 8 Moorrees CFA, Chadha MJ. Available space to the incisors during dental development. *Angle Orthod.* 1965;35:12-22
- 9 McNamara JA, Brudon WL. Orthodontic and orthopedic treatment in the mixed dentition. Ann Arbor, Mich 1993;Needham Press: 60-61
- 10 LaVelle, C. L. B., and Foster, T. D.: Crowding and spacing of the teeth in an adult British population, Dent. Pract 1969;19: 239-242
- 11 Fastlicht J.: Crowding of mandibular incisors. Am J Orthod. 1970;58: 156-163
- 12 Foster TD, Hamilton MC, LaVelle CLB. A study of dental arch crowding in four age-groups. Dent. Pract. 1970;21: 9-12
- 13 Mckeown M. The diagnosis of incipient crowding in children. N Z Dental J 1981;77:93-96
- 14 Mills LF. Arch width, arch length and tooth size in young adult males. Angle Orthod 1964;34:124-29
- 15 Howe RP, McNamara JA, O'Connor KA. An examination of dental crowding and its relationship to tooth size and arch dimension. Am J Orthod 1983;83:363-83.
- Fastlicht J. Crowding of mandibular incisors. Am J Orthod. 1970; 58: 156-63.
- 17 Norderval K, Wisth PJ, and Boe OE. Mandibular anterior crowding in relation to tooth size and craniofacial morphology. Scand J Dent Res.1975; 83: 267-73.
- 18 Doris JM. Bernard DW, and Kuftine C MM. A biometric study of tooth size and dental crowding. Am J Orthod.1981 79: 326-36.
- 19 Radnzic.Dental crowding and its relationship to mesiodistal crown diameters and arch dimensions. Am J Orthod. 1988;94:50.
- 20 Tsai HH. Dental crowding in primary dentition and its relationship to arch and crown dimensions. Dent child 2003;70: 164-69
- 21 Nimkarn Y, Miles PO, O'Reil MT. The validity of maxillary expansion indices. Angle Orthod 1995; 5: 321-26
- 22 Shigakkai shi AGD.Longitudinal study of dental arch forms, with special reference to normal malocclusion. Am J Orthod.1989; 27:635-42
- 23 Dental crowding in primary dentitionaqnd its relationship to arch and crown dimensions. J Dent Child.2003; 70: 164-69
- 24 Bishara SE, Khadivi P, Jackobsen JR. Changes in tooth size. Am J Orthod. 1995; 108:607-13.
- 25 Samad Younees SAE. Maxillary arch dimensions in Saudi and Egyptian population sample. Am J Orthod. 1984; 85: 55-67.
- 26 Natalia A, Roldan SI, and Buschangc PH. Maxillary and mandibular arch widths of Colombians. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop.2009; 135:649-56.