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ABSTRACT

Mandibular third molar is the most common tooth to become impacted. Mandibular third molars may acquire a range of patterns and positions and may lead to a diverse group of pathologies. The objective of this study was to enlist the different patterns of mandibular third molar impactions and their associated pathologies. This descriptive study was carried out at Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery Unit of Lahore Medical & Dental College, Lahore from February 2014 to September 2015. A total of 271 patients with 382 impacted mandibular third molars were included in the current study. Their ages were between 20 to 53 years (Mean±SD, 27.81±7.37). 114 (42.07%) were male and 157 (57.93%) females with male to female ratio of 1:1.38. Patients were evaluated with thorough history, clinical and radiographic examination. Periapical and panoramic radiographs were used to assess the pattern of mandibular third molar impactions and their associated pathologies. Mesioangular angulation (45.55%) with class II ramus relation (60.73%) and Position A (54.71%) depth was the most frequent pattern of impaction. A total of 324 pathologies were seen in 271 patients who presented for removal of impacted mandibular third molars. The most common pathology associated with the impacted mandibular third molar was dental caries of third molar (38.89%), followed by pericoronitis (29.01%, periodontal disease (14.19%) and a low frequency of cysts and tumors (3.39%). Prophylactic removal of impacted mandibular third molar with unfavorable patterns and positions may be beneficial for the patients to prevent the patients from associated pathologies.
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INTRODUCTION

Impacted teeth are those which fail to erupt or develop into the proper functional location. Impacted teeth may be non-functional, abnormal, or associated with the pathology. Any tooth may become impacted but the most common are mandibular third molars. Mandibular third molars may become impacted because of adjacent teeth, dense overlying bone or soft tissue, lack of space in the jaw, aberrant path of eruption, abnormal positioning of tooth bud or pathological lesions.

Mandibular third molars erupt at 17 to 21 years age and frequency of impaction is more in mandible than maxilla, with significantly higher frequency in females than males.

Mandibular third molars may acquire a range of patterns and positions and can lead to diverse pathologies. The position of an impacted third molars are categorized radiographically according to the anterior posterior space between the second molar and the mandibular ramus, its superior-inferior position, its medial lateral position in the body of the mandible and the position of its long axis, this classification is universally accepted, easy to coordinate between oral surgeons and even in record maintaining, treatment planning.

Impacted mandibular third molars may lead to a diverse group of pathologies including pericoronitis, dental caries of third molar or adjacent second molar.
There could also be root resorption of second molar, periodontal problems, odontogenic cysts and tumors etc.\(^7,8\) The aim of this study was to enlist the different patterns of mandibular third molar impactions and their associated pathologies. This will guide the surgeons not only to decide about the prophylactic removal of impacted mandibular third molars but also help in better treatment planning for the associated pathologies.

**METHODOLOGY**

This descriptive study was conducted from February 2014 to September 2015 in the Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Lahore Medical and Dental College, Lahore, Punjab, Pakistan. All patients presenting in outdoor patients department of Oral & Maxillofacial Surgery were examined by the team of this study. Inclusion criteria consisted of complete root formation of impacted mandibular third molars, patients with symptomatic impacted mandibular third molars or their associated pathologies. Patients younger than 20 years, maxillofacial trauma, systemic or craniofacial anomaly, syndrome and absence of mandibular second molar were excluded from the study. A total of 271 patients having impacted mandibular third molars or their associated pathologies were included in the study. A written informed consent was obtained from the patient. Patients’ demographic details (age and gender), side of impaction (right or left), type of impaction (partially or fully impacted) and associated pathologies/radiolucency were recorded in a specially designed proforma.

The assessment of angulations, patterns and positions of impacted mandibular third molars and their associated pathologies was done by detailed relevant history, clinical examination and radiographs i.e. periapical and panoramic views (OPG). Angulation of impacted mandibular third molars was assessed by Winter’s classification and teeth were labeled as mesioangular, distoangular, vertical or horizontal and others. Patterns and positions of impacted third molar were documented according to Pell and Gregory classification. If space between anterior border of ramus and distal surface of second molar was sufficient, it was labeled Class I. If space was less than mesiodistal diameter of impacted tooth, it was termed Class II. A tooth completely into ramus was assigned Class III. A third molar with its highest part at level of occlusal plane of second molar was assigned position A. In position B, impacted tooth was between occlusal plane and cervical margin of second molar while a tooth below cervical margin was labeled position C.

Impact of mandibular third molar associated pathologies (pericoronitis, dental caries of second or third molar, periodontal defect, root resorption of second molar) were also recorded. Definitive diagnosis of cysts and tumors was made from histopathological report of specimen taken while managing the impacted third molars (Fig 1-4).

Data was analyzed in SPSS version 17 by using Chi Square test. The qualitative variables in the demographic data like gender, patterns of impaction and associated pathologies were presented as proportions and percentages and quantitative variables like age were presented as means and standard deviation. The association between angulation, ramus relation and depth of impaction with age and gender were tested by using Chi Square test. The admitted level of significance was p<0.05.

**RESULTS**

A total of 271 patients having 382 impacted mandibular third molars were included in the current study. The ages of patients range from 20 to 53 (Mean±SD, 27.81±7.37). 114 (42.07%) were male and 157 (57.93%) females with male to female ratio of 1:1.38 (Table 1). Three hundred and eighty two impacted mandibular third molars were evaluated. Most of the impactions, 161 (42.15%) were in the age group 20-25, followed by 82 (21.47%) in 26-30 and 66 (17.28%) in 31-35 age groups.

According to Winter’s classification, mesioangular impactions were the most common 174 (45.55%), followed by vertical 121 (31.68%), 52 (13.61%) distoangular, 33 (08.64%) horizontal and 02 (0.52%) others (Table 2). Assessing the level of impaction using the Pell and Gregory classification, showed that 209 (54.71%) were in position A, 127 (33.25%) in position B and 46 (12.04%) in position C. 232 (60.73%) were in position II, 87 (22.78%) in position I and 63 (16.49%) in position III.

A total of 324 pathologies were seen in 271 patients who presented for removal of impacted mandibular third molars. The most frequent reason for extraction of third molar was dental caries in third molar itself.

**TABLE 1: AGE AND GENDER DISTRIBUTION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age groups (years)</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20-25</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>43.17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26-30</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>21.03%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-35</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>16.97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36-40</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>11.44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41-45</td>
<td>06</td>
<td>06</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>04.43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46-50</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>07</td>
<td>09</td>
<td>01.11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;50</td>
<td>03</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>05</td>
<td>01.85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE 2: AGE DISTRIBUTION OF TYPE OF IMPACTION (N=271, NO. OF IMPACTIONS=382)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age groups (years)</th>
<th>20-25</th>
<th>26-30</th>
<th>31-35</th>
<th>36-40</th>
<th>41-45</th>
<th>46-50</th>
<th>&gt;50</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mesioangular</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>06</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>07</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>45.55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vertical</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>31.68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distoangular</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>07</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>08</td>
<td>06</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>13.61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horizontal</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>09</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>08.64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>00.52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>161</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>03</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>382</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE 3: DISTRIBUTION OF PATHOLOGY; ANGULATION WISE (N=271, PATHOLOGY=324)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathology</th>
<th>Mesioangular</th>
<th>Vertical</th>
<th>Horizontal</th>
<th>Distoangular</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Caries (3rd Molar)</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>08</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pericoronitis</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>03</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Periodontal problem</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>06</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caries (2nd Molar)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>06</td>
<td>03</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Root Resorption (2nd Molar)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>07</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyst</td>
<td>04</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor</td>
<td>03</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>157</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>324</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig 1: Dental caries of impacted mandibular third molar itself
Fig 2: Pericoronitis around left impacted mandibular third molar
Fig 3: Dental caries and periodontal disease of mandibular second molar disease of mandibular second molar
Fig 4: A radiolucent pathological lesion associated with impacted mandibular third molar
In the current study, a total of 324 pathologies according to Winter’s classification was vertical. T, B and C. These results are comparable with Stanley molar placed at position A depth, followed by position and class III. About 54% patients had impacted third molar has insufficient space or is improperly positioned or its root formation has completed.

The removal of impacted molars is a frequently performed oral surgical procedure worldwide and also at minor oral surgery clinic of Lahore Medical and Dental College, Lahore, Pakistan. The present study was conducted on patients over 20 years, because by this age, one can differentiate more reliably if third molar has insufficient space or is improperly positioned or its root formation has completed.

Patients in their third decade of life were seen with highest percentage of impacted mandibular third molar impaction which correlates with the studies done in past in Pakistan and other countries. This study also indicates that females were commonly affected with molar impaction as compared to males and this finding is in accordance with other studies regarding gender distribution. In our study, there was very less number of patients in the last two age groups as compared to other groups and this could be due to early removal and neglected oral hygiene maintenance.

The literature shows the variation in the frequency of occurrence of different angular positions and ramus relationship of the impacted lower third molar. The results of our study show that mesioangular impactions were the most common, followed by vertical, distoangular and horizontal angulations. About 60% patients had a ramus relationship of class II, followed by class I and class III. About 54% patients had impacted third molar placed at position A depth, followed by position B and C. These results are comparable with Stanley et al and Knutsson et al but unlikely with Sasano T, Venta et al which showed the common impaction according to Winter’s classification was vertical.

In the current study, a total of 324 pathologies were seen in 271 patients who presented for removal of impacted mandibular third molars. The most frequent reason for removal of third molar was the dental caries of third molar itself (38.89%), followed by pericoronitis (29.01%) and periodontal problem (14.20%). These observations are different from other studies done in Pakistan and other countries which show pericoronitis was the most common reason for removal of impacted mandibular third molar but are in accordance with done by Nazir A et al.

Knutsson et al, Sasano T, Venta et al, and Punwutikorn et al showed that there was high risk of developing pericoronitis in distoangular and vertical position impaction. This should be explained in terms that food impaction was common in such types of impactions but the results of our study indicate that pericoronitis was the most common finding in mesioangular impactions. Also the higher proportion of patients having dental caries and periodontal disease in the present study than others can be attributed to lack of oral health care taken by the population under study.

Most of the studies in the literature showed very low prevalence of cyst and tumor development associated with impacted third molar. This information is used to support the rationale for no treatment of asymptomatic impacted teeth. Only a small percentage of current study comprised of radiographic cystic changes (1.83%) or tumor (1.04%). This could be due to the fact that teeth with pathological process e.g. odontogenic cysts or tumors, take longer to make a third molar symptomatic and patients present at later age for removal of lesion and third molar. However, the highest numbers of cystic lesions were seen in patients of third decade of life. This could be a justification for prophylactic removal of impacted mandibular third molars in certain patients. It is worth mentioning at this stage that a number of cases are misdiagnosed histopathologically. This again signifies the importance of liaison between the surgeon and the pathologist. It will help in making a correct initial diagnosis and save the patients from waste of time, money and the morbidity caused by additional surgical procedures. It is also recommended that patients should be educated with regards to regular dental checkups and improved oral health for reducing the prevalence of impacted mandibular third molar related caries and other associated pathologies in the population. Also that oral histopathological service should be established as there is a lack of such facilities.

CONCLUSION/RECOMMENDATIONS

Impacted mandibular third molars were most commonly seen in age groups (20-25 years) and (26-30 years). Mesioangular and vertical were the most common impactions seen in this study with common pathologies of dental caries and pericoronitis. Pro-
Evaluation of patterns of mandibular third molar impactions

phylactic extraction of impacted mandibular third molar may be beneficial for the patients. Moreover, early diagnosis of associated pathologies and proper management of impacted mandibular third molar is necessary to prevent further consequences. However, there is need for a population based study in Pakistan for effective planning as it is a small representative sample.
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