PREVALENCE OF TORUS PALATINUS AND TORUS MANDIBULARIS IN JORDANIAN POPULATION

¹DERAR AL-SEBAIE ²MASHOOR ALWRIKAT

ABSTRACT

The objective of this study was to determine the prevalence of torus palatanis and torus mandibularis in relation to age and gender among patients who attended Dental Department of Queen Alia Military Hospital in Jordan.

In this study 1218 subjects were examined for the presence of tori by inspection and palpations.

Out of 1218 subjects 28.7% had torus palatinus or mandibularis. The highest percentage (44.1%) of tori were in the age group of 31-41 years. Female percentage with either tori was higher (39.0%) than males (20.0%).

Key words: Torus palatinus, torus mandibularis

INTRODUCTION

The tori are exostosis that are formed by a dense cortical bone and limited amount of bone marrow, and they are covered with a thin and poorly vascularized mucosa. It represent an anatomical variation rather than a pathological condition and they usually become apparent during second or third decade of life.

Torus palatinus (TP) is usually located along median palatine suture involving both processi palatine. Torus mandibularis (TM) occurs most commonly in small size. They are often located at the canine to premolar area.

There is no specific etiology but there are many predisposing factors according to many researchers^{7,8,9,12} such as genetic, environmental and nutritional. The aim of this study was to determine the prevalence of torus palatinus and torus mandibularis in relation to age and gender in patients attending Queen Alia Military Hospital.

METHODOLOGY

A total of 1218 subjects attended dental department of Queen Alia Military Hospital from $1^{\rm st}$ Jan to 31 March

2010 and they were also examined for the presence of TP and TM.

The presence of tori were confirmed by clinical examination and palpation. Subjects were divided according to gender and were divided into 6 age groups namely 11-20, 21-30, 31-40, 41-50, 51-60 and ≥61 years.

RESULTS

In the present study the age of the patient with tori ranged from 16 to 69 years, majority (30.0%) of patients were in the age group of 21-30 years. The age of male patients ranged from 16 to 65 years while those of female from 18 to 69 years.

The prevalence of tori in age group ranged from 8.4% in age group 11 to 20 years to 44.1% in age group 31 to 40 years (Table 1).

In this study TP, TM were significantly more prevalent in females than in males (39.0% and 20.0% respectively). The overall prevalence was 28.7% (Table 2).

A Singaporean study is the only study that shows the same frequency of torus palatinus in both sexes.²⁰ There is no certain explanation for the higher prevalence of tori in females but genetics may be suggested as major factor.^{3,4,7,9,13,15,21,23}

¹ **Correspondence**: Dr Derar Al-Sebaie, Specialist Prosthodontics, Royal Medical Services, PO Box 620416, Irbid Jordan. Tel: 00962795523598, E-mail: derar25@yahoo.com

² Specialist of Oral & Maxillofacial Surgery

TABLE 1: PREVALENCE OF TORI ACCORDING TO AGE

Age/year	Male+ female	M+F with tori	Preva- lence				
11-20	169	14	8.4				
21-30	435	133	31.5				
31-40	221	98	44.1				
41-50	170	63	37.1				
51-60	132	21	15.4				
≥ 61	91	21	23.1				
Total	1218	350	28.7				

DISCUSSION

Oral tori have been defined as slow growing, osseous out growths at the mid line of the hard palate and at the lingual surface of the mandible. Tori are anatomical variant and has been termed exostosis. ^{27,28} Studies showing TP and ranging from 1.4 to 66.0% in different populations is given in Table 3.

Tori have been consistently seen more frequently in Mongolians than in the Caucasians. ^{37,38} Costich stated that tori may be less common in blacks than in whites. ³⁹ Yaacob et al found a high rate of 24.4% of torus palatine in Malaysian but low prevalence of torus mandibularis (2.2%). ³⁸ This difference in prevalence in different

TABLE 2: AGE AND GENDER DISTRIBUTION OF PATIENTS

Age/years	Male	Male+tori	%	Female	Female+tori	%
11-20	85	7	8.2	84	7	8.3
21-30	183	32	17.5	252	131	51.9
31-40	92	26	28.3	129	72	55.8
41-50	67	26	38.8	103	37	35.9
51-60	56	13	23.2	76	8	10.5
61≥	45	6	13.3	46	15	32.6
Total	528	110	20.0	690	270	39.0

TABLE 3: COMPARISON OF FINDINGS OF TP IN VARIOUS POPULATIONS

Year of publication	Population	Sample size	Female %	Male %	Prevalence
1953	USA(24)	2478			20.9
1966	Yugoslavia(2)				49.7
1977	Brazilian Indian (17)	200			10.0
1984	Singapore(20)		48.0	48.0	48.0
1987	KSA(25)	1932			1.4
1988	German(8)	1317			13.5
1994	Norway (6)	1181	43.4	32.7	45.4
1999	Turkey(22)	86			45.4
2001	West Indians	212	7.9	4.7	6.6
2002	Thai(13)	1200	67.3	48.8	58.1
2005	Turkey(26)	1943	34.3	28.1	30.9
2006	Jordan(14)	338	47.0	14.0	29.8
2007	Turkey (44)	2660	5.7	1.8	4.1
2008	Japan(45)	113	24.6	7.5	17.0

populations may be due to ethnicity. It was reported that among similar ethnic groups living in different areas, 6,16 or different ethnics groups living in same areas have various prevalences of TP and TM. 21,45

Large number of investigators have evaluated the effects of environmental 7,12 and genetic factors 8,9 including masticatory stress 7,8,21 and nutritional factors. 6 Dietary factors may have a role for the tori prevalence. Eggen and Natvig investigated the influence of nutrient in the etiology of tori. 45 The prevalence of TP and TM in this study correspond to the previous studies of Jordanian population. 14

CONCLUSION

The results of this study show significant relationship between the occurrence of tori and gender and support the findings that the etiological factors of tori are multifactorial namely genetic and environmental factors.

REFERENCES

- Regezi JA, Sciubba JJ. Oral pathology clinic-pathologic correlations. Philadelphia: WB Saunders, 1994:376-78.
- 2 Vidic B. Incidence of torus palatinus in Yugoslav skulls. J Dent Res 1966;45:1511-15
- 3 Woo JK. Torus palatinus. Am J Phys Anthropol 1950;8:81-111.
- 4 Jainkittivong A, Apinhasmit W, Swasdison S. Prevalence and clinical characteristics of oral tori in 1,520 Chulalongkorn University Dental School patients. Surg Radiol Anat 2007;29:125-31.
- 5 Thoma KH, Goldman HM. Oral pathology. St Louis: Mosby, 1960;1214-47.
- 6 Eggen S, Natvig B, Gasemyr J. Variation in torus palatinus prevalence in Norway. Scand J Dent Res 1994;102:54-59.
- 7 Haugen LK. Palatine and mandibular tori. A morphologic study in the current Norwegian population. Acta Odontol Scand 1992;50:65-77.
- 8 Reichart PA, Neuhaus F, Sookasem M. Prevalence of torus palatinus and torus mandibularis in Germans and Thai. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol 1988;16:61-64.
- 9 Gorsky M, Bukai A, Shohat M. Genetic influence on the prevalence of torus palatinus. Am J Med Genet 1998;75: 138-40.
- 10 Neville BW, Damn DD, Allen CM, Bouquot JE. Oral and maxillofacial pathology, $2^{\rm nd}$ ed. Philadelphia: WB Saunders, 2002;20-21.
- 11 Tran KT, Shannon M. Images in clinical medicine. Torus palatinus. N Engl J Med 2007;356:175-259.
- 12 King DR, Moore GE. The prevalence of torus palatinus. J Oral Med 1971;26:113-15.
- 13 Apinhasmit W, Jainkittivong A, Swasdison S. Torus palatinus and torus mandibularis in a Thai population. Sci Asia 2002;28:105-11.
- 14 Al Quran FA, Al-Dwairi ZN. Torus palatinusand torus mandibularis in edentulous patients. J Contemp Dent Pract 2006;7:112-19.
- 15 Al-Bayaty HF, Murti PR, Matthews R, Gupta PC. An epidem iological study of tori among 667 dental out patients in Trinidad and Tobago, West Indies. Int Dent J 2001;51: 300-304
- 16 Axelsson G, Hedegaard B. Torus palatinus in Icelandic school children. Am J Phys Anthropol 1985;67:105-12.

- 17 Bernaba JM. Morphology and incidence o torus palatinus and mandibularis in Brazillian Indians. J Dent Res 1977;56: 499-501.
- 18 Bruce I, Ndanu TA, Addo ME. Epidemiological aspects of oral tori in a Ghanaian community. Int Dent J 2004;54:78-82.
- 19 Cagirankaya LB, Kansu O, Hatipoglu MG. Is torus palatinus a feature of a well-developed maxilla. Clin Anat 2004;17: 623-25.
- 20 Chew CL, Tan PH. Torus palatinus. A clinical study. Aust Dent J 1984;29:245-48.
- 21 Gorsky M, Raviv M, Kfir E, Moskona D. Prevalence of torus palatinus in a population of young and adult Israelis. Arch Oral Biol 1996;41:623-25.
- 22 Gozil R, Sakul U, Calguner E, Uz A. Morphometry of the hard palate and shapes of palatine palatine suture. T Klin Dis Hek Bil 1999;5:149-153.
- 23 Kerdpon D, Srirungrojying S. A clinical study of oral tori in southern Thailand: Prevalence and the relation to parafunctional activity. Eur J Oral Sci 1999;107:9-13.
- 24 Kolas S, Halperin V, Jefferis K, Huddleston S, Robinson HB. The occurrence of torus palatine and torus mandibularisin 2478 dental patients. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol 1953;6:1134-41.
- 25 Salem G, Holm SA, Fattah R, Basset S, Nasser C. Developmental oral anomalies among school children in Gizan region, Saudi Arabia. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol 1987; 15:150-51.
- 26 Yildiz E, Deniz M, Ceyhan O. Prevalence of torus palatinusin Turkish Schoolchildren. Surg Radiol Anat 2005;27:368.
- 27 Stephen TS, Robert CF, Keslie FP. Principle and Practice of Oral Medicine; WB Saunders Company: Philadelphia, 1984; pp 476-77.
- 28 Carl OB. Current Clinical Dental Terminology. The CV Mosby Company: Saint Louis, 1974; pp 411-12.
- 29 Seah, YH. Torus Palatinus and Torus Mandibularis: a Review of the Literature. Aust Dent J 1995;40:318-21.
- 30 Bernal, BA, Morera, DE, Rodriguez, P. I [Prevalence of Torus Palatinus and Torus Mandibularis in the City of Havana]. Rev. Cubana Estomatol. 1983;20:126-31.
- 31 Haugen, LK. Palatine and Mandibular Tori. A morphology study in the Current Norweigian Population. Acta Odontol. Scand. 1992;50:65-77.
- 32 King, DR, Moore, GE. An analysis of Torus Palatinus in a Transatlantic Study. J Oral Med 1976;31:44-46.
- 33 Sonnier, KE, Horning, GM, Cohen, ME. Palatal Tuberles, Palatal Tori and Mandibular Tori: Prevalence and Anatomical Features in a US Population. J Periodontol. 1999;70: 329-36.
- 34 Topazian DS, Mullen FR. Continued growth of a Torus Palatinus. J Oral Surg 1977;35:845-46.
- 35 Famuyiwa OO, Olorunshola DA. Some family factors in Sickle Cell Anaemia in Lagos, Nigeria. Nig Med Practitioner 1998;35:70-73.
- 36 Arowojolu MO. Effect of Social Class on the Prevalence and Severity of Periodontal Diseases. Nig Med Practitioner 2001;39:26-28.
- 37 Yaacob H,Tirmzi H, Ismail K. The Prevalence of Oral Tori in Malaysians. J Oral Med 1983;38:40-42.
- 38 Costich ER. Comment on Summer DJ. Prevalence of Tori. J Oral Surg 1968;718-20.
- 39 King Dr, King AC. Incidence of Tori in three population groups. J Oral Med 1981;36:21-23.
- 40 Summers CJ. Prevalence of tori. Oral Surg 1968;26:718-20.
- 41 Austin JE, Radford GH, Banks O, Jr. Palatal and mandibular tori in the negro. NY State Dent J 1965;31:187-91.
- 42 Ossenburg NS. Mandibular Torus, a synthesis of new and previously reported data and discussion of its causes, Ottawa, 1981;pp 1-52.
- 43 Yoshinaka, M Prevalence of torus palatinus among Japanese elder, J of rehab 2010;20:40-42.
- 44 Chohayeb AA, Volpe Ar. Occurrence of torus palatinus and mandibularis among women of different ethnic group. Am J Dent 2001;14:278-80.
- 45 Eggen S, Natvig B. Relationship between torus mandibularis and number of present teeth. Scand J Dent Res 1986;94:233-40.