**ABSTRACT**

The objective of the study was to calculate the prevalence of most frequent impacted and, ectopic teeth in patients reporting to Orthodontic OPD at Armed Forces Institute of Dentistry (AFID) Rawalpindi. Duration of the study was one year from 7 December 04 to 6 December 05.

The sample consisted of 388 females and 162 males. Complete record of the patient was taken. 500 patients of both sexes of age 8-25 years were fully analyzed, 124 patients had impacted teeth and 102 patients had ectopic teeth. Most frequently impacted teeth were third molars especially lower right ones. Most frequent ectopic teeth were canines especially upper left canines.

Comparing the two jaws, impaction was more common in the mandible 52 (10.4%) than in maxilla 30 (6%), while 42 (8.4%) patients had impacted teeth in both jaws simultaneously. Only one patient had 5 teeth impacted. Most commonly ectopic teeth were maxillary canines which were 102 (60.5%). Ectopic teeth were more common in females than in males and were more in the upper jaw than in the lower.
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**INTRODUCTION**

A tooth which is completely or partially unerupted and is positioned against another tooth, bone, or soft tissue so that its further eruption is unlikely is called impacted tooth. In impacted teeth, root development might have finished, but unaided eruption is not expected to occur. Occasionally, malposition of a permanent tooth bud can lead to eruption at a wrong place. This condition is called an ectopic eruption and the tooth is called ectopic tooth.

Although there are some hereditary patterns leading to impacted teeth, the etiologic factors of most probable concern are malposed tooth germs, prolonged retention of primary teeth, localized pathologic lesions, and shortening of arch length. Ectopic eruption of maxillary first molars is associated with large primary and permanent teeth, a diminished maxillary length, posterior positioning of maxilla, and an atypical angle of eruption of the first molar.

Any tooth can be impacted but the teeth most frequently involved are the mandibular third molars, maxillary cuspid, maxillary third molars, mandibular and maxillary second bicuspids and maxillary central incisors, in that order. Most common teeth found in ectopy are maxillary first permanent molars, maxillary cuspid followed by mandibular cuspid, maxillary second premolar, and maxillary lateral incisors. About one half of all the tooth germs in ectopy, other than first molars, are maxillary cuspid and two third of all the ectopic cuspid are found in girls.

Impaction is diagnosed most frequently and easily when a tooth is long delayed in erupting, yet an extra effort should be made to make a diagnosis at an earlier date. Impaction is suspected clinically when opposing tooth is erupted and is almost certain when the same tooth of the opposite side is present. Palpation of the buccal and palatal mucosa, using the index finger of both the hands simultaneously is recommended to assess the bulge and position of erupting maxillary canines.

Impacted and ectopic teeth may lead to spacing in the arch & shifting of the midline if contralateral tooth is erupted. Maxillary incisors resorption due to ectopically positioned permanent canine can be silent, and devastating. They can cause migration of the neighboring teeth and loss of arch length; internal resorption; dentigerous cyst formation; external root resorption of the neighboring teeth; infection particularly with partial eruption; and referred confusing pain.

After conducting this study we will be able in a better position to determine the quantum of patients presented with impacted or ectopic teeth. It will also help us in getting clue so as to make comparison with other studies being conducted on various population groups. We will thus extract a native frequency of impaction and ectopia in our own population. This data will then be used for future reference and comparison by other researchers not only Pakistan but also by other countries.

**METHODOLOGY**

Descriptive study was conducted in Orthodontics out patient department at the Armed Forces Institute of Dentistry (AFID) Rawalpindi, from 7 December 2004 to 6 December 2005. Five hundred patients were...
selected from outpatient department. Orthopantomogram and photographs were taken. The sample consisted of 388 females 162 males. We took this sample of 500 patients because our study duration was comprised of only one year. Purposive sampling was used and an informed consent was taken from patients. Patients selected were between ages 8 to 25 years. All Patients were having class I, II or III molar relationship. Patients having different dental classifications on both sides were also included in the study. Any patient giving history of extractions of impacted teeth was ruled out. Patients with any major dentofacial abnormalities like cleft lip and palate; with any obvious dental pathology e.g. cysts, granuloma etc, primary dentition, or patients having supernumerary/supplemental teeth were excluded from the study.

Demographic data was collected as case number, date of report, name, age and gender of the patient on separate history sheets. History included the recording of missing teeth, extractions of teeth, ectopic teeth, extractions related to orthodontic treatment, accident involving facial area; about artificial prosthesis. Total of 500 patients were thoroughly evaluated. Patients having age range from 8 to 25 years were taken and divided into four age groups as 8 to 12, 13 to 16, 17 to 20, and 21 to 25. Orthopantomographs of all 500 patients of both the genders were thoroughly analyzed. The snapshots were made at the radiology department of Armed Forces Institute of Dentistry by using more versatile “Rotograph plus” apparatus. While taking snapshots, the time of the exposition was 65 Kv/15 MAs for OPG and 55Kv/05-08MAs for occlusal view. The snapshots were made on films Agfa. The analysis of the snapshots and determination of impacted and ectopic teeth for each patient were pursued in the same institute. All relevant data was collected on a specified Proforma.

Data collected was entered into SPSS version 10. Pie chart for proportions of age groups, gender, mean and standard deviation of ages were calculated. Frequency was determined for number of impacted and ectopic teeth in both male and female population, as well as in maxilla and mandible.

RESULTS

In our study based on a set of 500 orthopantomograms of patients from age 18-25 years (Fig 1) selected by the method of random choice, 162(32.4%) were males and 388(67.6%) were females (Fig 2).

Out of 162 males, 12(7.40%) had a single impacted tooth while 21(12.96%) males had two impacted teeth. There were three impacted teeth in 6(3.70%) males, while four impacted teeth in 4(2.47%) males. Only one patient (0.62%) had a uniqueness of five impacted teeth. Maximum impacted teeth in male patients were 3rd molars especially lower right third molar.

Out of 388 females, 23(5.93%) had single impacted tooth, 25(6.44%) had two impacted teeth, 10(2.58%) had three impacted teeth, and 21(5.41%) had four impacted teeth. In male patients total frequency of impacted teeth was 44(27.16%) while in female it was 129(33.24%).

Out of all total patients, 74(14.8%) patients had lower right 3rd molar impacted which was the most frequently impacted tooth followed by lower left third molar in 69(13.8%) of patients. Upper right canine was the second most impacted tooth in 21 patients (4.2%) and was more prevalent in females as compared to male members.8

Thirty (6%) patients had impacted teeth in the maxilla, fifty two (10.4%) had in the mandible, while forty two (8.4%) patients had impacted teeth both in the upper and lower jaw.

Out of 162 males, 122 (75.30%) were without any ectopic teeth, 17(10.49%) had 1 ectopic tooth, 8(4.93%) had 2, 6(3.70%) had 3, 5(3.08%) had 4, 1(0.61%) had 5, 2(1.23%) had 6 and 1(0.61%) had only 8 ectopic teeth. Out of 388 females 278 had no ectopic teeth, 23 had one, 19 had 2, 5 had 3, 8 had 4, 1 had 5 while another 1 had 6, 2 had 7 and only one patient had 8 ectopic teeth.
Maximum number of ectopically placed tooth was upper right canine which was 53(10.6%). Teeth ectopic in the maxilla and mandible were 30. 56 teeth in total were ectopic only in the maxilla while 15 were in the mandible.

**DISCUSSION**

The use of dental panoramic tomography (DPT) for study of impacted teeth is limited to hospital dental patients and large dental practices because of associated costs and ethical considerations. To ensure our diagnostic validity of the study we thoroughly examined all patients and their radiographic findings. Although this study may not represent the Pakistani population as a whole, the results are fruitful for primary health workers as the patients studied represent the wide range of dental patients reporting to our setup. The prevalence of impacted teeth in the studied population was relatively high as compared to other studies; this high ratio is self evident from the sample selected which was solely from orthodontic OPD of AFID.

During collection of clinical data, OPG was extensively used for the identification of the diagnostic parameters, specified in this study,21-22 but unfortunately due to shortcoming of OPG due to considerable distortion in the frontal portion of the dentoalveolar complex, periapical radiographs were required for additive verification.

We divided the sample into 4 age groups just for convenience. The major age group ranged between 13-16 years with a total number of 249 (49.8%) patients, out of which 43 had impacted while 62 had ectopic teeth. The reason for high prevalence in this age group may be that most of these teenagers are eager to report this problem to orthodontists just for esthetic reasons.

The frequency and the type of tooth impacted was compatible with the previous reports,9,10,15,17 with most common being third molars, followed by upper canines. This predilection for impaction of lower third molars has not been reported in studies of other ethnic groups.

Among 500 patients 173 patients had impacted teeth. Out of 308 impacted teeth mandibular third molars were the most commonly impacted teeth followed by maxillary third molars. Ectopic canines were more frequently seen in girls than in boys.10,36 In the previous studies, ectopically erupting canines were more frequent in males and in upper jaw. Young33 revealed that one out of 50 children was affected, being somewhat higher as compared to other studies.10,24 This was in accordance with our study, where mandibular second premolar 14(4.54%) was second most impacted teeth which coincided with the previous reports.9,10,24,25 which was much less than our study, this might be due to our patients who solely picked up from orthodontic OPD. Impacted maxillary canines, like ours, are three times as common in girls as in boys.26,27 The literature is replete with the tooth impaction which is a more frequent phenomenon.8-19 However there is considerable variation in the prevalence and distribution of impacted teeth in different regions of the jaws.10-19 Affecting factors include; selected age group, timing of dental eruption, and the radiographic criteria for dental development and eruption.

After the third molars and maxillary canines, the most commonly impacted tooth was the mandibular second premolar, having incidence of impaction from 2.1% to 2.7%.28 This was in accordance with our study, where mandibular second premolar 14(4.54%) was third in ranking of impaction. Regarding ectopy, the most frequently found ectopic teeth were the maxillary first permanent molars and canines, followed by the mandibular canines, mandibular second premolars, & maxillary lateral incisors. For first permanent maxillary molar the diagnosis is usually made by a routine radiographic examination before the eruption of these teeth, usually between five and seven years of age.

Ectopic eruption of the maxillary first molars showed a variable prevalence that ranged between 2% to 6% as per the population studied.31 According to Moyers 4% of American children present this type of dental anomaly. Studies conducted by Cheyne Wessels 32 in 1947, revealed that one out of 50 children was affected, being more frequent in males and in upper jaw. Young33 observed that it affected 3% of a group of 1619 boys and girls while Chintakanon and Boonpinon 34 found a prevalence of 0.75% only.

In our local study the incidence of ectopically erupting maxillary canines was 20.9% which seems somewhat higher than compared to other studies.10,34,35 this again might be due to our selection criteria of patients already stated. Ectopic canines were found palatally more than buccally with over double the frequency.21 The etiology of the palatal canine ectopia remains unclear but is likely to be polygenic and multifactorial.35 In the previous studies, ectopically erupting canines were more frequently seen in girls than in boys.10,36

Practitioner should become suspicious of the possibility of canine ectopia if the canine is not palpable in
the buccal sulcus by the age of 10-11 years of age or if palpation indicates an asymmetrical eruption pattern. Radiographic procedures prior to the age of 10-11 years are usually of little benefit in terms of the knowledge gained.\textsuperscript{37} This clinical examination usually involves taking two radiographs and the use of principle of horizontal or vertical \textsuperscript{38} parallax procedure. However the horizontal parallax techniques are more definite than vertical parallax technique in localizing the unerupted tooth.\textsuperscript{39}

The limitation of this study was the limited age group. Future studies should be conducted with ages from 5-25 years to find out the ectopia of maxillary first permanent molars.

**CONCLUSION**

Ectopic or Impacted teeth send an alarming signal in Pakistan, both for the patient, parents and the treating orthodontist alike. This great concern of ectopia accentuate the importance of an early diagnosis, timely orthodontic management, and customized strategical approach so as to attain the real goal of functional occlusion and dentofacial esthetics at zenith level of dental profession.
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